

**Piotrków Historical Annals  
Review form**

**Reviewer (title/scientific degree, name, surname, affiliation)**

.....

**Title of the article:**

.....

**Does the subject of the article correspond to the profile of the journal?**

**Yes/no**

**General assessment of the reviewed work:**

Work is suitable for publication:

in the current form

after minor corrections

after major corrections

Work is not suitable for publication

**Contents (score 0-5):**

1. Does the title clearly correspond to the contents of the work? 0 1 2 3 4 5

2. Is the work original? 0 1 2 3 4 5

3. Is the subject area of the work significant in scientific terms? 0 1 2 3 4 5

4. Does the work reflect the current state of knowledge? 0 1 2 3 4 5

5. Have sources been used in an appropriate manner? 0 1 2 3 4 5

6. Has the literature of the subject been selected and used accurately? 0 1 2 3 4 5

7. Are interpretations and conclusions correct? 0 1 2 3 4 5

8. Does the work contain any unauthorised borrowings? 0 1 2 3 4 5

**Form (score 0-5):**

1. Is the structure of the work proper? 0 1 2 3 4 5

2. Is the text linguistically correct? 0 1 2 3 4 5

4. Are the visual materials (maps, tables etc.)  
appropriately selected and prepared? 0 1 2 3 4 5

